

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usspi.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/06/2005

| APPLICATION NO.               | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.    | CONFIRMATION NO |  |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/662,836                    | 09/15/2003      | Iulian Gheorghe      | 02-36                  | 1217            |  |
| 27901                         | 7590 06/06/2005 | ÷                    | EXAMINER               |                 |  |
| ANDREW ALEXANDER & ASSOCIATES |                 |                      | EDMONDSON, LYNNE RENEE |                 |  |
| 3124 KIPP A'<br>P.O. BOX 203  |                 |                      | ART UNIT               | PAPER NUMBER    |  |
| LOWER BUI                     | RELL, PA 15068  | •                    | 1725                   |                 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |  |   |  | _XX     |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|--|---------|--|--|--|--|
|   | Applicat   |   | Applicant(s)   |         |  |  |  |  |
|   | 10/662,8   | 336   | GHEORGHE, IULIAN   |         |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examine  | or .  | Art Unit   |         |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | imondson  | 1725   |         |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this comm   | unication appears on th  | e cover sheet with the d  | orrespondence address  |         |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU  - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reach any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) | NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no emmunication. y (30) days, a reply within the state a statutory period will apply and wiply will, by statute, cause the apples after the mailing date of this control. | vent, however, may a reply be tire<br>atutory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>plication to become ABANDONE | nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the rnailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |         |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |  | •   |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s)   | filed on <u>15 September</u>   | <u>2003</u> .   |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.   | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.   |   |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| ,—  | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is  |   |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| closed in accordance with the pra-  | ctice under <i>Ex parte Q</i>  | uayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4  | 53 O.G. 213.   |         |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |  |   |  | :       |  |  |  |  |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the  | e application.   |   |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is  | are withdrawn from co  | onsideration.   |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  |   |  | •       |  |  |  |  |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |  |   |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.   |  | quiromont   |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> are subject to restric   | CHOIT ATIO/OF ELECTION TE  | quirement.  |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  | ·  |   | •  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by   | the Examiner.  |   |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/al   | re: a)□ accepted or b  | )☐ objected to by the   | Examiner.  |         |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any ob   |  | •   | • •  |         |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) include  | •  | <del>-</del> · · ·  |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected   | to by the Examiner. N  | iote the attached Office  | : Action of form PTO-152.  |         |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  | •  |   |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a clai<br>a) All b) Some * c) None of   | :  |   | )-(d) or (f).  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Certified copies of the priori   | •  |   | tan Na   |         |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priori</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copie</li></ul>  | •  | • •   |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| application from the Interna  | · ·  |   | ed in this National Stage  |         |  |  |  |  |
| * See the attached detailed Office ac   | •  |   | ed.  |         |  |  |  |  |
|   |  | •   |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |  |   |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   |  | 4) Interview Summary  | (PTO-413)  | •       |  |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review   |  | Paper No(s)/Mail D  |  |         |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449<br/>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>   | or PTO/SB/08)  | 6) Other:   | ателт Аррисация (ГТО-192)  | V       |  |  |  |  |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office<br>PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)  | Office Action Summ   | ary   | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 060205   | <u></u> |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/662,836

Art Unit: 1725

## Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-33, drawn to a method of welding aluminum, classified in class
   228, subclass 199.
- II. Claims 36-47 and 53-58, drawn to an aircraft assembly, classified in class 244, subclass 117R+.
- III. Claims 34, 35, 48-52, drawn to a structural assembly, classified in class 52, subclass 633+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In this case the process can be used to build a fuel tank or bicycle frame. The airplane can be made by natural aging or other heat treatment processes.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). The structural assembly, which can be anything, can be made by natural ageing or other heat treatment processes.

Application/Control Number: 10/662,836

Art Unit: 1725

4. Inventions II and III are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a sputtering target or fuel tank and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 3

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 1725

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne Edmondson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1172. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne Edmondson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725

LRE

LYNNE R. EDMONDSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER

US/405